

# Time-dependent toroidal compactification proposals and the Bianchi type I model: classical and quantum solutions

L. Toledo Sesma,<sup>\*</sup> J. Socorro,<sup>†</sup> and O. Loaiza<sup>‡</sup>

*Departamento de Física,*

*DCI. Universidad de Guanajuato. Campus León.*

*Loma del Bosque No. 103 Col. Lomas del Campestre C.P. 37150 A.Postal E-143*

*León, Guanajuato, Mexico.*

(Dated: May 18, 2016)

## Abstract

In this work we construct an effective four-dimensional model by compactifying a ten-dimensional theory of gravity coupled with a real scalar dilaton field on a time-dependent torus. This approach is applied to anisotropic cosmological Bianchi type I model for which we study the classical coupling of the anisotropic scale factors with the two real scalar moduli produced by the compactification process. Under this approach, we present an isotropization mechanism for the Bianchi I cosmological model through the analysis of the ratio between the anisotropic parameters and the volume of the Universe which in general keeps constant or runs into zero for late times. We also find that the presence of extra dimensions in this model can accelerate the isotropization process depending on the momenta moduli values. Finally, we present some solutions to the corresponding Wheeler-DeWitt (WDW) equation in the context of Standard Quantum Cosmology.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Qc, 04.50.-h, 04.20.Jb, 04.50.Gh

Keywords: Exact solutions, classical and quantum cosmology, dimensional reduction

## I. INTRODUCTION

The 2015 release of Planck data has provided a detailed map of cosmic microwave background (CMB) temperature and polarization allowing us to detect deviations from an isotropic early Universe [1]. The evidence given by these data leads us to the possibility to consider that there is no exact isotropy, since there exist small anisotropy deviations of the CMB radiation and apparent large angle anomalies. In that context, there have been recent attempts to fix constraints on such deviations by using the Bianchi anisotropic models [2]. The basic idea behind these models is to consider the present observational anisotropies and anomalies as imprints of an early anisotropic phase on the CMB which in turn can be explained by the use of different Bianchi models. In particular, Bianchi I model seems to be related to large angle anomalies [2] (and references therein).

In a different context, attempts to understand diverse aspects of cosmology, as the presence of stable vacua and inflationary conditions, in the framework of supergravity and string theory have been considered in the last years [3–10]. One of the most interesting features emerging from these type of models consists on the study of the consequences of higher dimensional degrees of freedom on the cosmology derived from four-dimensional effective theories [11, 12]. The usual procedure for that is to consider compactification on generalized manifolds, on which internal fluxes have back-reacted, altering the smooth Calabi-Yau geometry and stabilizing all moduli [10].

The goal of the present work is to consider in a simple model some of the above two perspectives, i.e., we shall consider the presence of extra dimensions in a Bianchi I model with the purpose to track down the influence of moduli fields in its isotropization. For that we shall consider an alternative procedure concerning the role played by moduli. In particular we shall not consider the presence of fluxes, as in string theory, in order to obtain a moduli-dependent scalar potential in the effective theory. Rather, we are going to promote some of the moduli to time-dependent fields

---

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic address: ltoledo@fisica.ugto.mx

<sup>†</sup>Electronic address: socorro@fisica.ugto.mx

<sup>‡</sup>Electronic address: oloaiza@fisica.ugto.mx

by considering the particular case of a ten-dimensional gravity coupled to a time-dependent dilaton compactified on a 6-dimensional torus with a time-dependent Kähler modulus. With the purpose to study the influence of such fields, we are going to ignore the dynamics of the complex structure modulus (for instance, by assuming that it is already stabilized by the presence of a string field in higher scales). This shall allow us to construct classical effective models with two moduli.

However, we are also interested in possible quantum aspects of our model. Quantum implications on cosmology from more fundamental theories are expected due to different observations. For instance, it has been pointed out that the presence of extra dimensions leads to an interesting connection to the ekpyrotic model [13], which generated considerable activity [8, 9, 14]. The essential ingredient in these models (see for instance [11]) is to consider an effective action with a graviton and a massless scalar field, the dilaton, describing the evolution of the Universe, while incorporating some of the ideas of pre-big-bang proposal [15, 16] in that the evolution of the Universe began in the far past. On the other hand, it is well known that relativistic theories of gravity such as general relativity or string theories are invariant under reparametrization of time. Quantization of such theories presents a number of problems of principle known as “the problem of time” [17, 18]. This problem is present in all systems whose classical version is invariant under time reparametrization, leading to its absence at the quantum level. Therefore, the formal question involves how to handle the classical Hamiltonian constraint,  $\mathcal{H} \approx 0$ , in the quantum theory. Also, connected with the problem of time is the “Hilbert space problem” [17, 18] referring to the not at all obvious selection of the inner product of states in quantum gravity, and whether there is a need for such a structure at all. The above features, as it is well known, point out the necessity to construct a consistent theory of gravity at quantum level.

Analysis of effective four-dimensional cosmologies derived from M-theory and Type IIA string theory were considered in [19–21] where string fluxes are related to the dynamical behaviour of the solutions. A quantum description of the model was studied in [22, 23] where a flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker geometry was considered for the extended four-dimensional space-time while a geometry given by  $S^1 \times T^6$  was assumed for the internal seven-dimensional space, however the dynamical field are in the quintom scheme, since one of the fields have a negative energy. Under a similar perspective, we study the Hilbert space of quantum states on a Bianchi I geometry with two time-dependent scalar moduli derived from a ten-dimensional effective action containing the dilaton and the Kähler parameter from a six-dimensional torus compactification. We find that in this case, the wave function of this Universe is represented by two factors, one depending on moduli while the second one depending on gravitational fields. This behaviour is a property of all cosmological Bianchi Class A models.

The work is organized in the following form. In section II we present the construction of our effective action by compactification on a time-dependent torus, while in Section III we study its Lagrangian and Hamiltonian descriptions using as toy model the Bianchi type I cosmological model. Section IV is devoted on finding the corresponding classical solutions for few different cases involving the presence or absence of matter content and the cosmological constant term. Using the classical solutions found in previous sections, we present an isotropization mechanism for the Bianchi I cosmological model, through the analysis of the ratio between the anisotropic parameters and the volume of the Universe, showing that in all the cases we have studied, its value keeps constant or runs into zero for late times. In Section V we present some solutions to the corresponding Wheeler-DeWitt (WDW) equation in the context of Standard Quantum Cosmology and finally our conclusions are presented in Section VI.

## II. EFFECTIVE MODEL

We start from a ten-dimensional action coupled with a dilaton (which is the bosonic component common to all superstring theories), which after dimensional reduction can be interpreted as a Brans-Dicke like theory [24]. In the string frame, the effective action depends on two spacetime-dependent scalar fields: the dilaton  $\Phi(x^\mu)$  and the Kähler modulus  $\sigma(x^\mu)$ . For simplicity, in this work we shall assume that these fields only depend on time. The high-dimensional (effective) theory is therefore given by

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}X \sqrt{-\hat{G}} e^{-2\Phi} \left[ \hat{\mathcal{R}}^{(10)} + 4\hat{G}^{MN} \nabla_M \Phi \nabla_N \Phi \right] + \int d^{10}X \sqrt{-\hat{G}} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_m \quad (1)$$

where all quantities  $\hat{q}$  refer to the string frame while the ten-dimensional metric is described by

$$ds^2 = \hat{G}_{MN} dX^M dX^N = \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + h_{mn} dy^m dy^n, \quad (2)$$

where  $M, N, P, \dots$  are the indices of the ten-dimensional space, greek indices  $\mu, \nu, \dots = 0, \dots, 3$  and latin indices  $m, n, p, \dots = 4, \dots, 9$  correspond to the external and internal space, respectively. We will assume that the six-dimensional internal space has the form of a torus with a metric given by

$$h_{mn} = e^{-2\sigma(t)} \delta_{mn}, \quad (3)$$

with  $\sigma$  a real parameter.

Dimensionally reducing the first term in (1) to four-dimensions in the Einstein frame (see Appendix A for details), gives

$$S_4 = \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \mathcal{R} - 2g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi - 96g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \sigma \nabla_\nu \sigma - 36g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \sigma \right), \quad (4)$$

where  $\phi = \Phi + \frac{1}{2} \ln(\hat{V})$ , with  $\hat{V}$  given by

$$\hat{V} = e^{6\sigma(t)} \text{Vol}(X_6) = \int d^6y. \quad (5)$$

By considering only a time-dependence on the moduli, one can notice that for the internal volume  $\text{Vol}(X_6)$  to be small, the modulus  $\sigma(t)$  should be a monotonic increasing function on time (recall that  $\sigma$  is a real parameter), while  $\hat{V}$  is time and moduli independent.

Now, concerning the second term in (1), we shall require to properly define the ten-dimensional stress-energy tensor  $\hat{T}_{MN}$ . In the string frame it takes the form

$$\hat{T}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{T}_{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{T}_{mn} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\hat{T}_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\hat{T}_{mn}$  denote the four and six-dimensional components of  $\hat{T}_{MN}$ . Observe that we are not considering mixing components of  $\hat{T}_{MN}$  among internal and external components although non-constrained expressions for  $\hat{T}_{MN}$  have been considered in [25]. In the Einstein frame the four-dimensional components are given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\phi} \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}. \quad (7)$$

It is important to remark that there are some dilemmas about what the best frame to describe the gravitational theory is. Here in this work we have taken the Einstein frame. Useful references to find a discussion about String and Einstein frames and its relationship in the cosmological context are [26–30].

Now with the expression (4) we proceed to build the Lagrangian and the Hamiltonian of the theory at the classical regime employing the anisotropic cosmological Bianchi type I model. The moduli fields will satisfy the Klein-Gordon like equation in the Einstein frame as an effective theory.

### III. CLASSICAL HAMILTONIAN

In order to construct the classical Hamiltonian, we are going to assume that the background of the extended space is described by a cosmological Bianchi type I model. For that, let us recall here the canonical formulation in the ADM formalism of the diagonal Bianchi Class A cosmological models; the metric has the form

$$ds^2 = -N(t)dt^2 + e^{2\Omega(t)} (e^{2\beta(t)})_{ij} \omega^i \omega^j, \quad (8)$$

where  $N(t)$  is the lapse function,  $\beta_{ij}(t)$  is a  $3 \times 3$  diagonal matrix,  $\beta_{ij} = \text{diag}(\beta_+ + \sqrt{3}\beta_-, \beta_+ - \sqrt{3}\beta_-, -2\beta_+)$ ,  $\Omega(t)$  and  $\beta_\pm$  are scalar functions, known as Misner variables,  $\omega^i$  are one-forms that characterize each cosmological Bianchi type model [31], and obey the form  $d\omega^i = \frac{1}{2} C_{jk}^i \omega^j \wedge \omega^k$ , with  $C_{jk}^i$  the structure constants of the corresponding model. The one-forms for the Bianchi type I model are  $\omega^1 = dx, \omega^2 = dy$ , and  $\omega^3 = dz$ . So, the corresponding metric of the Bianchi type I in Misner's parametrization has the form

$$ds_I^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + R_1^2 dx^2 + R_2^2 dy^2 + R_3^2 dz^2, \quad (9)$$

where  $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^3$  are the anisotropic radii and they are given by

$$R_1 = e^{\Omega+\beta_++\sqrt{3}\beta_-}, \quad R_2 = e^{\Omega+\beta_+-\sqrt{3}\beta_-}, \quad R_3 = e^{\Omega-2\beta_+}, \quad V = R_1 R_2 R_3 = e^{3\Omega},$$

where  $V$  is the volume function of this model.

The Lagrangian density, with a matter content given by a barotropic perfect fluid and a cosmological term, has a structure, corresponding to an energy-momentum tensor of perfect fluid [32–35]

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (p + \rho)u_\mu u_\nu + p g_{\mu\nu}$$

that satisfies the conservation law  $\nabla_\nu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ . Taking the equation of state  $p = \gamma\rho$  between the energy density and the pressure of the comoving fluid, a solution is given by  $\rho = C_\gamma e^{-3(1+\gamma)\Omega}$  with  $C_\gamma$  the corresponding constant for different values of  $\gamma$  related to the Universe evolution stage. Then, the Lagrangian density reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{mat} = 16\pi G_N \sqrt{-g}\rho + 2\sqrt{-g}\Lambda = 16N\pi G_N C_\gamma e^{-3(1+\gamma)\Omega} + 2N\Lambda e^{3\Omega}$$

while the Lagrangian that describes the fields dynamics is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_I = \frac{e^{3\Omega}}{N} \left[ 6\dot{\Omega}^2 - 6\dot{\beta}_+^2 - 6\dot{\beta}_-^2 + 96\dot{\sigma}^2 + 36\dot{\phi}\dot{\sigma} + 2\dot{\phi}^2 + 16\pi G N^2 \rho + 2\Lambda N^2 \right], \quad (10)$$

using the standard definition of the momenta,  $\Pi_{q^\mu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}^\mu}$ , where  $q^\mu = (\Omega, \beta_+, \beta_-, \phi, \sigma)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\Omega &= \frac{12e^{3\Omega}\dot{\Omega}}{N}, & \dot{\Omega} &= \frac{Ne^{-3\Omega}\Pi_\Omega}{12}, \\ \Pi_\pm &= -\frac{12e^{3\Omega}\dot{\beta}_\pm}{N}, & \dot{\beta}_\pm &= -\frac{Ne^{-3\Omega}\Pi_{\beta_\pm}}{12}, \\ \Pi_\phi &= \frac{e^{3\Omega}}{N} [36\dot{\sigma} + 4\dot{\phi}], & \dot{\phi} &= \frac{Ne^{-3\Omega}}{44} [3\Pi_\sigma - 16\Pi_\phi], \\ \Pi_\sigma &= \frac{e^{3\Omega}}{N} [192\dot{\sigma} + 36\dot{\phi}], & \dot{\sigma} &= \frac{Ne^{-3\Omega}}{132} [9\Pi_\phi - \Pi_\sigma], \end{aligned}$$

and, introducing them into the Lagrangian density, we obtain the canonical Lagrangian as  $\mathcal{L}_{canonical} = \Pi_{q^\mu} \dot{q}^\mu - N\mathcal{H}$ . When we perform the variation of this canonical lagrangian with respect to  $N$ ,  $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{canonical}}{\delta N} = 0$ , implying the constraint  $\mathcal{H}_I = 0$ . In our model the only constraint corresponds to Hamiltonian density, which is weakly zero. So, we obtain the Hamiltonian density for this model

$$\mathcal{H}_I = \frac{e^{-3\Omega}}{24} \left[ \Pi_\Omega^2 - \Pi_+^2 - \Pi_-^2 - \frac{48}{11}\Pi_\phi^2 + \frac{18}{11}\Pi_\phi \Pi_\sigma - \frac{1}{11}\Pi_\sigma^2 - 384\pi G_N \rho_\gamma e^{3(1-\gamma)\Omega} - 48\Lambda e^{6\Omega} \right]. \quad (11)$$

We introduce a new set of variables in the gravitational part given by  $e^{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} = e^{3\Omega} = V$  which corresponds to the volume of the Bianchi type I Universe, in similar way that the flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric (FRW) with a scale factor. This new set of variables depends on Misner variables as

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &= \Omega + \beta_+ + \sqrt{3}\beta_-, \\ \beta_2 &= \Omega + \beta_+ - \sqrt{3}\beta_-, \\ \beta_3 &= \Omega - 2\beta_+, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

from which the Lagrangian density (10) can be transformed as

$$\mathcal{L}_I = \frac{e^{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3}}{N} \left( 2\dot{\phi}^2 + 36\dot{\phi}\dot{\sigma} + 96\dot{\sigma}^2 + 2\dot{\beta}_1\dot{\beta}_2 + 2\dot{\beta}_1\dot{\beta}_3 + 2\dot{\beta}_3\dot{\beta}_2 + 2\Lambda N^2 + 16\pi G N^2 \rho_\gamma e^{-(1+\gamma)(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)} \right), \quad (13)$$

and the Hamiltonian density reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_I &= \frac{1}{8} e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)} \left[ -\Pi_1^2 - \Pi_2^2 - \Pi_3^2 + 2\Pi_1\Pi_2 + 2\Pi_1\Pi_3 + 2\Pi_2\Pi_3 - \frac{16}{11}\Pi_\phi^2 + \frac{6}{11}\Pi_\phi \Pi_\sigma - \frac{1}{33}\Pi_\sigma^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 128\pi G \rho_\gamma e^{(1-\gamma)(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)} - 16\Lambda e^{2(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

So far, we have built the classical Hamiltonian density from a higher dimensional theory; this Hamiltonian contains a barotropic perfect fluid, we have explicitly added. The next step is to analyze three different cases involving terms in the classical Hamiltonian (14), and find solutions to each of them in the classical regime.

### A. Isotropization

The current observations of the cosmic background radiation set a very stringent limit to the anisotropy of the Universe [37], therefore it is important to consider the anisotropy of the solutions. We shall denote through all our study derivatives of functions  $F$  with respect to  $\tau = Nt$  by  $F'$  (the following analysis is similar to this presented recently in the K-essence theory, since the corresponding action is similar to this approach [36]). Recalling the Friedmann like equation to the Hamiltonian density

$$\Omega'^2 = \beta_+'^2 + \beta_-'^2 + 16\sigma'^2 + \frac{1}{3}\phi'^2 + 6\phi'\sigma' + \frac{8}{3}\pi G\mu_\gamma e^{-3(1+\gamma)\Omega} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}, \quad (15)$$

we can see that isotropization is achieved when the terms with  $\beta_\pm'^2$  go to zero or are negligible with respect to the other terms in the differential equation. We find in the literature the criteria for isotropization, among others,  $(\beta_+'^2 + \beta_-'^2)/H^2 \rightarrow 0$ ,  $(\beta_+'^2 + \beta_-'^2)/\rho \rightarrow 0$ , that are consistent with our above remark. In the present case the comparison with the density should include the contribution of the scalar field. We define an anisotropic density  $\rho_a$ , that is proportional to the shear scalar,

$$\rho_a = \beta_+'^2 + \beta_-'^2, \quad (16)$$

and will compare it with  $\rho_\gamma$ ,  $\rho_\phi$ , and  $\Omega'^2$ . From the Hamilton analysis we now see that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\Pi}_\phi &= 0, & \rightarrow & \Pi_\phi = p_\phi = \text{constant}, \\ \dot{\Pi}_\sigma &= 0, & \rightarrow & \Pi_\sigma = p_\sigma = \text{constant}, \\ \dot{\Pi}_+ &= 0, & \rightarrow & \Pi_+ = p_+ = \text{constant}, \\ \dot{\Pi}_- &= 0, & \rightarrow & \Pi_- = p_- = \text{constant}, \end{aligned}$$

and the kinetic energy for the scalars fields  $\phi$  and  $\sigma$  are proportional to  $e^{-6\Omega}$ . This can be seen from definitions of momenta associated to the Lagrangian (10) and the above equations. Then, defining  $\kappa_\Omega$  as  $\kappa_\Omega^2 \sim p_+^2 + p_-^2 + \frac{16}{132^2}(p_\sigma - 9p_\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{3.44^2}(3p_\sigma - 16p_\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{22.44}(p_\sigma - 9p_\phi)(3p_\sigma - 16p_\phi)$ , we have that

$$\rho_a \sim e^{-6\Omega}, \quad \rho_{\phi,\sigma} \sim e^{-6\Omega}, \quad \Omega'^2 \sim \frac{\Lambda}{3} + \kappa_\Omega^2 e^{-6\Omega} + b_\gamma e^{-3(1+\gamma)\Omega}, \quad b_\gamma = \frac{8}{3}\pi G_N \mu_\gamma. \quad (17)$$

With the use of these parameters, we find that the following ratios :

$$\frac{\rho_a}{\rho_{\phi,\sigma}} \sim \text{constant}, \quad \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_\gamma} \sim e^{3\Omega(\gamma-1)}, \quad \frac{\rho_a}{\Omega'^2} \sim \frac{1}{\kappa_\Omega^2 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}e^{6\Omega} + b_\gamma e^{3(1-\gamma)\Omega}}. \quad (18)$$

We observe that for an expanding Universe the anisotropic density is dominated by the fluid density (with the exception of the stiff fluid) or by the  $\Omega'^2$  term and then *at late times the isotropization is obtained since the above ratios tends to zero*.

## IV. CASE OF INTEREST

In this section we present the classical solutions for the Hamiltonian density of the Lagrangian (10) we have previously built in terms of a new set of variables (12), focusing on three different cases. We start our analysis on the vacuum case, and on the case with a cosmological term  $\Lambda$ . Finally we shall analyze the general case considering matter content and a cosmological term.

### A. Vacuum case

To analyze the vacuum case, we will take in the Hamiltonian density (14) that  $\rho_\gamma = 0$  and  $\Lambda = 0$ , obtaining that

$$\mathcal{H}_{I_{vac}} = \frac{1}{8} e^{-(\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3)} \left[ -\Pi_1^2 - \Pi_2^2 - \Pi_3^2 + 2\Pi_1\Pi_2 + 2\Pi_1\Pi_3 + 2\Pi_2\Pi_3 - \frac{16}{11}\Pi_\phi^2 + \frac{6}{11}\Pi_\phi\Pi_\sigma - \frac{1}{33}\Pi_\sigma^2 \right]. \quad (19)$$

The Hamilton equations for the coordinates fields  $\dot{q}_i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i}$  and the corresponding momenta  $\dot{p}_i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_i}$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\Pi}_i &= -\mathcal{H} \equiv 0, & \Rightarrow & \Pi_i = \text{constant}, \\
\dot{\Pi}_\phi &= 0, & \Rightarrow & \Pi_\phi = \text{constant}, \\
\dot{\Pi}_\sigma &= 0, & \Rightarrow & \Pi_\sigma = \text{constant}, \\
\beta'_1 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)} [-\Pi_1 + \Pi_2 + \Pi_3], \\
\beta'_2 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)} [-\Pi_2 + \Pi_1 + \Pi_3], \\
\beta'_3 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)} [-\Pi_3 + \Pi_1 + \Pi_2], \\
\phi' &= \phi_0 e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)}, \\
\sigma' &= \sigma_0 e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The gravitational momenta are constant by mean the Hamiltonian constraint, first line in the last equation. In this way, the solution for the sum of  $\beta_i$  functions become

$$\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = \ln [\epsilon \tau + b_0], \quad \epsilon = b_1 + b_2 + b_3,$$

with  $b_i = \Pi_i$  and  $b_0$  an integration constant. Therefore, Misner variables are expressed as

$$\Omega = \ln (\epsilon \tau + b_0)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad \beta_+ = \ln (\epsilon \tau + b_0)^{\frac{\epsilon-3 b_3}{6 \epsilon}}, \quad \beta_- = \ln (\epsilon \tau + b_0)^{\frac{b_3+2 b_1-\epsilon}{2 \sqrt{3} \epsilon}}, \tag{21}$$

while moduli fields are given by

$$\phi = \frac{\phi_0}{\epsilon} \ln (\epsilon \tau + b_0), \quad \sigma = \frac{\sigma_0}{\epsilon} \ln (\epsilon \tau + b_0),$$

where  $\phi_0 = \Pi_\phi$  and  $\sigma_0 = \Pi_\sigma$ . Notice that in this case, the associated external volume given by  $e^{3\Omega}$  and the moduli fields  $\phi$  and  $\sigma$  are all logarithmically increasing functions on time, implying for the later that the internal volume shrinks in size for lately times, as expected, while the four-dimensional Universe expands into an isotropic flat space-time. The parameter  $\rho_a$ , goes to zero at very late times independently of  $b_0$ .

## B. Cosmological term $\Lambda$

The corresponding Hamiltonian density becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_I &= \frac{1}{8} e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)} \left[ -\Pi_1^2 - \Pi_2^2 - \Pi_3^2 + 2 \Pi_1 \Pi_2 + 2 \Pi_1 \Pi_3 + 2 \Pi_2 \Pi_3 - \frac{16}{11} \Pi_\phi^2 + \frac{6}{11} \Pi_\phi \Pi_\sigma \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{33} \Pi_\sigma^2 - 16 \Lambda e^{2(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)} \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

and the corresponding Hamilton's equations are

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi'_1 &= \Pi'_2 = \Pi'_3 = 4 \Lambda e^{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3}, \\
\beta'_1 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)} [-\Pi_1 + \Pi_2 + \Pi_3], \\
\beta'_2 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)} [-\Pi_2 + \Pi_1 + \Pi_3], \\
\beta'_3 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)} [-\Pi_3 + \Pi_1 + \Pi_2], \\
\phi' &= \phi_0 e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)}, \\
\sigma' &= \sigma_0 e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)},
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where the constants  $\phi_0$  and  $\sigma_0$  are the same as in previous case. To solve the last system of equations we shall take the following ansatz

$$\Pi_1 = \Pi_2 + \delta_2 = \Pi_3 + \delta_3, \tag{24}$$

with  $\delta_2$  and  $\delta_3$  constants. By substituting into the Hamiltonian (22) we find a differential equation for the momenta  $\Pi_1$  given by

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda} \Pi_1'^2 - 3 \Pi_1^2 + \nu \Pi_1 + \delta_1 = 0, \quad (25)$$

where the corresponding constants are

$$\nu = 2(\delta_2 + \delta_3), \quad \delta_1(q_0) = (\delta_2 - \delta_3)^2 - q_0, \quad (26)$$

with the constant (related to the momenta scalar field)

$$q_0 = \frac{16}{11} \Pi_\phi^2 - \frac{6}{11} \Pi_\phi \Pi_\sigma + \frac{1}{33} \Pi_\sigma^2. \quad (27)$$

The solution for  $\Pi_1$  is thus

$$\Pi_1 = \frac{\nu}{6} + \frac{1}{6} \sqrt{\nu^2 + 12 \delta_1} \cosh(\sqrt{3 \Lambda} \tau). \quad (28)$$

Hence, using the last result (28) in the rest of equations in (23) we obtain that

$$\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = \ln \left( \frac{1}{8} \sqrt{\frac{\nu^2 + 12 \delta_1}{3 \Lambda}} \sinh(\sqrt{3 \Lambda} \tau) \right), \quad (29)$$

and the corresponding solutions to the moduli fields are

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \frac{8 \phi_2}{\sqrt{\nu^2 + 12 \delta_1}} \ln \left( \tanh \left( \frac{\sqrt{3 \Lambda}}{2} \tau \right) \right), \\ \sigma &= \frac{8 \sigma_2}{\sqrt{\nu^2 + 12 \delta_1}} \ln \left( \tanh \left( \frac{\sqrt{3 \Lambda}}{2} \tau \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

With the last results we see that the solutions to the Misner variables  $(\Omega, \beta_\pm)$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \frac{1}{3} \ln \left( \frac{1}{8} \sqrt{\frac{\nu^2 + 12 \delta_1}{3 \Lambda}} \sinh(\sqrt{3 \Lambda} \tau) \right), \\ \beta_+ &= \frac{2}{3} \frac{(\delta_2 - 2 \delta_3)}{\sqrt{\nu^2 + 12 \delta_1}} \ln \tanh \left( \frac{\sqrt{3 \Lambda}}{2} \tau \right), \\ \beta_- &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{\delta_2}{\sqrt{\nu^2 + 12 \delta_1}} \ln \tanh \left( \frac{\sqrt{3 \Lambda}}{2} \tau \right). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

and the isotropization parameter  $\rho_a$  is given by

$$\rho_a = \frac{\Lambda}{3} \frac{(\delta_2 - 2 \delta_3)^2 + \delta_2^2}{\nu^2 + 12((\delta_2 - \delta_3)^2 - q_0)} \coth^2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3 \Lambda}}{2} \tau \right). \quad (32)$$

Notice that the external four-dimensional volume expands as time  $\tau$  runs and it is affected whether  $q_0$  is positive or negative. This in turns is determined by how faster evolves the moduli fields with respect to each other (i.e., whether  $\Pi_\phi$  is larger or smaller than  $\Pi_\sigma$ ). Therefore, isotropization is reached independently of the values of  $q_0$  but expansion of the Universe (and shrinking of the internal volume) is affected by it.

### C. Matter content and cosmological term

For this case, the corresponding Hamiltonian density becomes the equation (14). So, using the Hamilton equation, we can see that the momenta associated to the scalars fields  $\phi$  and  $\sigma$  are constants, and we shall label these constants

by  $\phi_1$  and  $\sigma_1$ , respectively.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi'_1 = \Pi'_2 = \Pi'_3 &= 4\Lambda e^{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3} + 16\pi G(1-\gamma)\rho_\gamma e^{-\gamma(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)}, \\
\beta'_1 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)} [-\Pi_1 + \Pi_2 + \Pi_3], \\
\beta'_2 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)} [-\Pi_2 + \Pi_1 + \Pi_3], \\
\beta'_3 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)} [-\Pi_3 + \Pi_1 + \Pi_2], \\
\phi' &= \phi_2 e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)}, \\
\sigma' &= \sigma_2 e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Follow the same steps as the section IV B, we see that is possible to relate the momenta associated to  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\beta_3$  in the following way

$$\Pi_1 = \Pi_2 + k_1 = \Pi_3 + k_2 \tag{34}$$

also, the differential equation for fields  $\phi$  and  $\sigma$  can be reduced to quadratures as

$$\phi = \phi_2 \int e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)} d\tau, \quad \sigma = \sigma_2 \int e^{-(\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3)} d\tau. \tag{35}$$

We proceed to consider specific values for  $\gamma$ .

#### 1. $\gamma = \pm 1$ cases

Let us introduce the generic parameter

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} 4\Lambda, & \gamma = 1 \\ 4\Lambda + 32\pi G\rho_{-1}, & \gamma = -1 \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

for which  $\Pi'_1 = \lambda e^{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3}$ . Substituting this into the Hamiltonian (14) we find the differential equation for the momenta  $\Pi_1$  as

$$\frac{4}{\lambda} \Pi_1'^2 - 3\Pi_1^2 + \nu\Pi_1 + \delta_1 = 0, \tag{37}$$

with the solution given by  $\Pi_1$  is

$$\Pi_1 = \frac{\nu}{6} + \frac{1}{6} \sqrt{\nu^2 + 12\delta_1} \cosh\left(\frac{\sqrt{3\lambda}}{2} \tau\right). \tag{38}$$

On the other hand, using the last result (38) we obtain from Hamilton equations that

$$\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = \ln\left(\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3 + 12\delta_1}{3\lambda}} \sinh\left(\frac{\sqrt{3\lambda}}{2} \tau\right)\right). \tag{39}$$

The corresponding solutions to the moduli fields (35) can be found as

$$\phi = \frac{8\phi_2}{\sqrt{\nu^2 + 12\delta_1}} \ln \tanh\left(\frac{\sqrt{3\lambda}}{4} \tau\right), \quad \sigma = \frac{8\sigma_2}{\sqrt{\nu^2 + 12\delta_1}} \ln \tanh\left(\frac{\sqrt{3\lambda}}{4} \tau\right). \tag{40}$$

The solutions to the Misner variables (12),  $(\Omega, \beta_\pm)$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega &= \frac{1}{3} \ln\left(\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\delta^2 + 12\delta_1}{3\lambda}} \sinh\left(\frac{\sqrt{3\lambda}}{2} \tau\right)\right), \\
\beta_+ &= \frac{2}{3} \frac{(\delta_2 - 2\delta_3)}{\sqrt{\nu^2 + 12\delta_1}} \ln \tanh\left(\frac{\sqrt{3\lambda}}{2} \tau\right) + \frac{1}{6} \ln\left(\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\nu^2 + 12\delta_1}{3\lambda}}\right), \\
\beta_- &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{\delta_2}{\sqrt{\nu^2 + 12\delta_1}} \ln \tanh\left(\frac{\sqrt{3\lambda}}{2} \tau\right) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \ln\left(\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\nu^2 + 12\delta_1}{3\lambda}}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$



It is remarkable that equations (35) for the fields  $\phi$  and  $\sigma$  are maintained for all Bianchi Class A models, and in particular, when we use the gauge  $N = e^{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3}$ , the solutions for these fields are independent of the cosmological models, whose solutions are  $\phi = \frac{\phi_0}{8}t$  and  $\sigma = \frac{\sigma_0}{8}t$ .

After studying the  $\gamma = 0$  case we shall comment on the physical significance of these solutions.

## 2. $\gamma = 0$ case

The Hamilton equations are

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi'_1 = \Pi'_2 = \Pi'_3 &= 4\Lambda e^{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3} + \alpha_0, & \alpha_0 &= 16\pi G\rho_0 \\ \Pi'_\phi &= 0, & \Pi_\phi &= \phi_1 = cte,\end{aligned}\tag{42}$$

from which the momenta  $\Pi_1$  fulfils the equation

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda}\Pi_1'^2 - 3\Pi_1^2 + \mu\Pi_1 + \xi_1 = 0,\tag{43}$$

where

$$\xi_1 = \delta_1 - \frac{\alpha_0^2}{\Lambda}.\tag{44}$$

The solution is given by

$$\Pi_1 = \frac{\nu}{6} + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{\nu^2 + 12\xi_1} \cosh\left(\sqrt{3\Lambda}\tau\right).\tag{45}$$

Using this result in the corresponding Hamilton equations, we obtain that

$$\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = \ln\left(\frac{1}{8}\sqrt{\frac{\nu^2 + 12\xi_1}{3\Lambda}} \sinh\left(\sqrt{3\Lambda}\tau\right)\right),\tag{46}$$

and the corresponding solutions to the dilaton field  $\phi$  and the moduli field  $\sigma$  are given by

$$\phi = \frac{8\phi_2}{\sqrt{\nu^2 + 12\xi_1}} \ln \tanh\left(\frac{\sqrt{3\Lambda}}{2}\tau\right), \quad \sigma = \frac{8\sigma_2}{\sqrt{\nu^2 + 12\xi_1}} \ln \tanh\left(\frac{\sqrt{3\Lambda}}{2}\tau\right).\tag{47}$$

So, the solutions to the Misner variables  $(\Omega, \beta_\pm)$ , are

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega &= \frac{1}{3} \ln\left(\frac{1}{8}\sqrt{\frac{\nu^2 + 12\xi_1}{3\Lambda}} \sinh\left(\sqrt{3\Lambda}\tau\right)\right), \\ \beta_+ &= \frac{2}{3} \frac{\delta_2 - 2\delta_3}{\sqrt{\nu^2 + 12\xi_1}} \ln \tanh\left(\frac{\sqrt{3\Lambda}}{2}\tau\right), \\ \beta_- &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{\delta_2}{\sqrt{\nu^2 + 12\xi_1}} \ln \tanh\left(\frac{\sqrt{3\Lambda}}{2}\tau\right).\end{aligned}\tag{48}$$

For both solutions,  $\gamma = 0, \pm 1$ , we also observe that for positive (negative)  $q_0$ , the Universe expands slower (faster) than in the absence of extra dimensions while the internal space shrinks faster (slower) for positive (negative)  $q_0$ . In this case, isotropization parameters are also accordingly affected by  $q_0$ , through relations (27).

So far, our analysis has been completely classic. Now, in the next section we deal with the quantum scheme and we are going to solve the WDW equation in standard quantum cosmology.

## V. QUANTUM SCHEME

Solutions to the Wheeler-DeWitt (WDW) equation dealing with different problems have been extensively used in the literature. For example, the important quest of finding a typical wave function for the Universe, was nicely addressed in [38], while in [39] there appears an excellent summary concerning the problem of how a Universe emerged from a big bang singularity, cannot longer be neglected in the GUT epoch. On the other hand, the best candidates for quantum solutions become those that have a damping behavior with respect to the scale factor, represented in our model with the  $\Omega$  parameter, in the sense that we obtain a good classical solution using the WKB approximation in any scenario in the evolution of our Universe [40]. The WDW equation for this model is achieved by replacing the momenta  $\Pi_{q^\mu} = -i\partial_{q^\mu}$ , associated to the Misner variables  $(\Omega, \beta_+, \beta_-)$  and the moduli fields  $(\phi, \sigma)$  in the Hamiltonian (11). The factor  $e^{-3\Omega}$  may be factor ordered with  $\hat{\Pi}_\Omega$  in many ways. Hartle and Hawking [40] have suggested what might be called a semi-general factor ordering which in this case would order  $e^{-3\Omega}\hat{\Pi}_\Omega^2$  as

$$-e^{-(3-Q)\Omega}\partial_\Omega e^{-Q\Omega}\partial_\Omega = -e^{-3\Omega}\partial_\Omega^2 + Qe^{-3\Omega}\partial_\Omega, \quad (49)$$

where  $Q$  is any real constant that measure the ambiguity in the factor ordering in the variable  $\Omega$  and the corresponding momenta. We will assume in the following this factor ordering for the Wheeler-DeWitt equation, which becomes

$$\square\Psi + Q\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\Omega} - \frac{48}{11}\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial\phi^2} - \frac{1}{11}\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial\sigma^2} + \frac{18}{11}\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial\phi\partial\sigma} - \left[b_\gamma e^{3(1-\gamma)\Omega} + 48\Lambda e^{6\Omega}\right]\Psi = 0, \quad (50)$$

where  $\square$  is the three dimensional d'Lambertian in the  $\ell^\mu = (\Omega, \beta_+, \beta_-)$  coordinates, with signature  $(-++)$ . On the other hand, we could interpreted the WDW equation (50) as a time-reparametrization invariance of the wave function  $\Psi$ . At a glance, we can see that the WDW equation is static, this can be understood as the problem of time in standard quantum cosmology. We can avoid this problem by measuring the physical time with respect a kind of time variable anchored within the system, that means that we could understand the WDW equation as a correlation between the physical time and a fictitious time [41, 42]. When we introduce the ansatz

$$\Psi = \Phi(\phi, \sigma)\psi(\Omega, \beta_\pm), \quad (51)$$

in (50), we obtain the general set of differential equations (under the assumed factor ordering),

$$\square\psi + Q\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\Omega} - \left[b_\gamma e^{3(1-\gamma)\Omega} + 48\Lambda e^{6\Omega} - \frac{\mu^2}{5}\right]\psi = 0, \quad (52)$$

$$\frac{48}{11}\frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial\phi^2} + \frac{1}{11}\frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial\sigma^2} - \frac{18}{11}\frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial\phi\partial\sigma} + \mu^2\Phi = 0. \quad (53)$$

where we choose the separation constant  $\frac{\mu^2}{5}$  for convenience to reduce the second equation. The solution to the hyperbolic partial differential equation (53) is given by

$$\Phi(\phi, \sigma) = C_1 \sin(C_3\phi + C_4\sigma + C_5) + C_2 \cos(C_3\phi + C_4\sigma + C_5), \quad (54)$$

where  $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^5$  are integration constants and they are in terms of  $\mu$ . We claim that this solutions is the same for all Bianchi Class A cosmological models, because the Hamiltonian operator in (50) can be written in separated way as  $\hat{H}(\Omega, \beta_\pm, \phi, \sigma)\Psi = \hat{H}_g(\Omega, \beta_\pm)\Psi + \hat{H}_m(\phi, \sigma)\Psi = 0$ , where  $\hat{H}_g$  y  $\hat{H}_m$  represents the Hamiltonian to gravitational sector and the moduli fields, respectively. To solve equation (52), we now set  $\psi(\Omega, \beta_\pm) = \mathcal{A}(\Omega)\mathcal{B}_1(\beta_+)\mathcal{B}_2(\beta_-)$ , obtaining the following set of ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{d^2\mathcal{A}}{d\Omega^2} - Q\frac{d\mathcal{A}}{d\Omega} + \left[b_\gamma e^{-3(\gamma-1)\Omega} + 48\Lambda e^{6\Omega} + \sigma^2\right]\mathcal{A} = 0, \quad (55)$$

$$\frac{d^2\mathcal{B}_1}{d\beta_+^2} + a_2^2\mathcal{B}_1 = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{B}_1 = \eta_1 e^{ia_2\beta_+} + \eta_2 e^{-ia_2\beta_+}, \quad (56)$$

$$\frac{d^2\mathcal{B}_2}{d\beta_-^2} + a_3^2\mathcal{B}_2 = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{B}_2 = c_1 e^{ia_3\beta_-} + c_2 e^{-ia_3\beta_-}, \quad (57)$$

where  $\sigma^2 = a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2$ ,  $c_i$  and  $\eta_i$  are constants. We now focus on the  $\Omega$  dependent part of the WDW equation. We solve this equation when  $\Lambda = 0$  and  $\Lambda \neq 0$ .

1. For the case with null cosmological constant and  $\gamma \neq 1$ ,

$$\frac{d^2 \mathcal{A}}{d\Omega^2} - Q \frac{d\mathcal{A}}{d\Omega} + \left[ b_\gamma e^{-3(1-\gamma)\Omega} + \sigma^2 \right] \mathcal{A} = 0, \quad (58)$$

and by using the change of variable,

$$z = \frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma}}{p} e^{-\frac{3}{2}(\gamma-1)\Omega}, \quad (59)$$

where  $p$  is a parameter to be determined, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{A}}{d\Omega} &= \frac{d\mathcal{A}}{dz} \frac{dz}{d\Omega} = -\frac{3}{2} (\gamma-1) z \frac{d\mathcal{A}}{dz}, \\ \frac{d^2 \mathcal{A}}{d\Omega^2} &= \frac{9}{4} (\gamma-1)^2 z^2 \frac{d^2 \mathcal{A}}{dz^2} + \frac{9}{4} (\gamma-1)^2 z \frac{d\mathcal{A}}{dz}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we arrive at the equation

$$\frac{9}{4} (\gamma-1)^2 z^2 \frac{d^2 \mathcal{A}}{dz^2} + \frac{9}{4} (\gamma-1)^2 z \frac{d\mathcal{A}}{dz} - \frac{3}{2} Q (\gamma-1) z \frac{d\mathcal{A}}{dz} + [p^2 z^2 + \sigma^2] \mathcal{A} = 0, \quad (60)$$

where we have assumed that  $\mathcal{A}$  is of the form [43]

$$\mathcal{A} = z^{qQ} \Phi(z), \quad (61)$$

with  $q$  is yet to be determined. We thus get after substituting in (60),

$$\frac{9}{4} (\gamma-1)^2 z^{qQ} \left[ z^2 \frac{d^2 \Phi}{dz^2} + z \left( 1 + 2qQ + \frac{2}{3} \frac{Q}{\gamma-1} \right) \frac{d\Phi}{dz} + \left( \frac{4p^2}{9(\gamma-1)^2} z^2 + Q^2 \left\{ q^2 + \frac{2}{3} \frac{q}{\gamma-1} \right\} + \frac{4\sigma^2}{9(\gamma-1)^2} \right) \Phi \right] = 0,$$

which can be written as

$$z^2 \frac{d^2 \Phi}{dz^2} + z \frac{d\Phi}{dz} + \left[ z^2 - \frac{1}{9(\gamma-1)^2} (Q^2 - 4\sigma^2) \right] \Phi = 0, \quad (62)$$

which is the Bessel differential equation for the function  $\Phi$  when  $p$  and  $q$  are fixed to

$$q = -\frac{1}{3(\gamma-1)}, \quad p = \frac{3}{2} |\gamma-1|, \quad (63)$$

which in turn means that the transformations (59) and (61) are

$$z = \frac{2\sqrt{b_\gamma}}{3|\gamma-1|} e^{-\frac{3}{2}(\gamma-1)\Omega}, \quad \mathcal{A} = z^{-\frac{Q}{3(\gamma-1)}} \Phi(z).$$

Hence, the solution for (58) is of the form

$$\mathcal{A}_\gamma = c_\gamma \left( \frac{2\sqrt{b_\gamma}}{3|\gamma-1|} e^{-\frac{3}{2}(\gamma-1)\Omega} \right)^{-\frac{Q}{3(\gamma-1)}} Z_{i\nu} \left( \frac{2\sqrt{b_\gamma}}{3|\gamma-1|} e^{-\frac{3}{2}(\gamma-1)\Omega} \right), \quad (64)$$

with

$$\nu = \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{9(\gamma-1)^2} (4\sigma^2 - Q^2)}, \quad (65)$$

where  $Z_{i\nu} = J_{i\nu}$  is the ordinary Bessel function with imaginary order. For the particular case when the factor ordering is zero, we can easily construct a wave packet [40, 44, 45] using the identity

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{sech} \left( \frac{\pi\eta}{2} \right) J_{i\eta}(z) d\eta = 2 \sin(z),$$

so, the total wave function becomes

$$\Psi(\Omega, \beta_{\pm}, \phi, \sigma) = \Phi(\phi, \sigma) \sin \left( \frac{2\sqrt{b_{\gamma}}}{3|\gamma-1|} e^{-\frac{3}{2}(\gamma-1)\Omega} \right) [\eta_1 e^{ia_2\beta_+} + \eta_2 e^{-ia_2\beta_+}] [c_1 e^{ia_3\beta_-} + c_2 e^{-ia_3\beta_-}]$$

Notice that the influence of extra dimensions through the presence of the moduli  $\phi$  and  $\sigma$  appears in the solution in the amplitud of the wave function. We shall comment on this later on.

2. Case with null cosmological constant and  $\gamma = 1$ . For this case we have

$$\frac{d^2 \mathcal{A}_1}{d\Omega^2} - Q \frac{d\mathcal{A}_1}{d\Omega} + \sigma_1^2 \mathcal{A}_1 = 0, \quad \sigma_1^2 = b_1 + \sigma^2, \quad (66)$$

whose solution is

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = A_1 e^{\frac{Q+\sqrt{Q^2+4\sigma_1^2}}{2}\Omega} + A_2 e^{\frac{Q-\sqrt{Q^2+4\sigma_1^2}}{2}\Omega}. \quad (67)$$

so, the total wave function becomes

$$\Psi(\Omega, \beta_{\pm}, \phi, \sigma) = \Phi(\phi, \sigma) \left[ A_1 e^{\frac{Q+\sqrt{Q^2+4\sigma_1^2}}{2}\Omega} + A_2 e^{\frac{Q-\sqrt{Q^2+4\sigma_1^2}}{2}\Omega} \right] [\eta_1 e^{ia_2\beta_+} + \eta_2 e^{-ia_2\beta_+}] [c_1 e^{ia_3\beta_-} + c_2 e^{-ia_3\beta_-}].$$

3. If we include the cosmological constant term for this particular case, we have (Zaitsev 2002)

$$\mathcal{A} = e^{\frac{Q}{2}\Omega} Z_{\nu} \left( 4\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} e^{3\Omega} \right), \quad \nu = \pm \frac{1}{6} \sqrt{Q^2 + 4\sigma_1^2}, \quad (68)$$

where  $\Lambda > 0$  in order to have ordinary Bessel functions as solutions, in other case, we will have the modified Bessel function. When the factor ordering parameter  $Q$  equals zero, we have the same generic Bessel functions as solutions, having the imaginary order  $\nu = \pm i \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_1^2}}{3}$ .

4.  $\gamma = -1$  and  $\Lambda \neq 0$  and any factor ordering  $Q$ .

$$\frac{d^2 \mathcal{A}_{-1}}{d\Omega^2} - Q \frac{d\mathcal{A}_{-1}}{d\Omega} + [d_{-1} e^{6\Omega} - \sigma^2] \mathcal{A}_{-1} = 0, \quad d_{-1} = 48\mu_{-1} + 48\Lambda \quad (69)$$

with the solution

$$\mathcal{A}_{-1} = \left( \frac{\sqrt{d_{-1}}}{3} e^{3\Omega} \right)^{\frac{Q}{6}} Z_{\nu} \left( \frac{\sqrt{d_{-1}}}{3} e^{3\Omega} \right), \quad \nu = \pm \frac{1}{6} \sqrt{Q^2 + 4\sigma^2} \quad (70)$$

where  $Z_{\nu}$  is a generic Bessel function. When  $b_{-1} > 0$ , we have ordinary Bessel functions, in other case we have modified Bessel functions.

5.  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $\Lambda \neq 0$  and factor ordering  $Q = 0$

$$\frac{d^2 \mathcal{A}_0}{d\Omega^2} + (48\Lambda e^{6\Omega} + b_0 e^{3\Omega} + \sigma^2) \mathcal{A}_0 = 0, \quad b_0 = 48\mu_0 \quad (71)$$

with the solution

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = e^{-3\Omega/2} \left[ D_1 M_{-\frac{ib_0}{24\sqrt{3}\Lambda}, -\frac{i\sigma}{3}} \left( \frac{8i e^{3\Omega} \sqrt{\Lambda}}{\sqrt{3}} \right) + D_2 W_{-\frac{ib_0}{24\sqrt{3}\Lambda}, -\frac{i\sigma}{3}} \left( \frac{8i e^{3\Omega} \sqrt{\Lambda}}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \right], \quad (72)$$

where  $M_{k,p}$  And  $W_{k,p}$  are Whittaker functions and  $D_i$  are integration constants.

## VI. FINAL REMARKS

In this work we have explored a compactification of a ten-dimensional gravity theory coupled with a time-dependent dilaton into a time-dependent six-dimensional torus. The effective theory which emerges through this process resembles in the Einstein frame to that described by the Bianchi I model. By incorporating the barotropic matter and cosmological content and by using the analytical procedure of Hamilton equation of classical mechanics, in appropriate coordinates, we found the classical solution for the anisotropic Bianchi type I cosmological models. In particular, the Bianchi type I is complete solved without using a particular gauge. With these solutions we can validate our qualitative analysis on isotropization of the cosmological model, implying that this become when the volume is large in the corresponding *time* evolution.

We find that for all cases, the Universe expansion is gathered as time runs while the internal space shrinks. Qualitatively this models shows us that extra dimensions are forced to decrease its volume for an expanding Universe. Also we notice that the presence of extra dimensions affects how fast the Universe (with matter) expands through the presence of a constant related to the moduli momenta. This is not unexpected since we are not considering a potential for the moduli, which implies that they are not stabilized and consequently an effective model should only take into account their constant momenta. We observe that isotropization is not affected in the cases without matter, but in the matter presence, isotropization can be favored or retarded according to how fast the moduli evolve with respect to each other.

Could be interesting to study other type of matter in this context, beyond the barotropic matter. For instance, the Chaplygin gas, where a proper time, characteristic to this matter, leads to the presence of singularities type I, II, III and IV (generalizations to these models are presented in [49–51]) which also appear in the phantom scenario to dark/energy matter. In our case, since the matter we are considering is barotropic, initial singularities of these type do not emerge from our analysis, implying also that phantom fields are absent. It is important to remark, that this model is a very simplified one in the sense that we do not consider the presence of moduli dependent matter and we do not analyse under which conditions inflation is present or how it starts. We plan to study this important task in a different work.

Concerning the quantum scheme we can observe that this anisotropic model is completely integrable without employ numerical methods, similar solutions to partial differential equation into the gravitational variables has been found in [46], and we obtain that the solutions in the moduli fields are the same for all Bianchi Class A cosmological models, because the Hamiltonian operator in (50) can be written in separated way as  $\hat{H}(\Omega, \beta_{\pm}, \phi, \sigma)\Psi = \hat{H}_g(\Omega, \beta_{\pm})\Psi + \hat{H}_m(\phi, \sigma)\Psi = 0$ , where  $\hat{H}_g$  y  $\hat{H}_m$  represents the Hamiltonian to gravitational sector and the moduli fields, respectively, with the full wave function given by  $\Psi = \Phi(\phi, \sigma)\Theta(\Omega, \beta_{\pm})$ . In order to have the best candidates for quantum solutions become those that have a damping behavior with respect to the scale factor, represented in our model with the  $\Omega$  parameter, in this way we shall dropped in the full solution the modified Bessel function  $I_{\nu}(z)$  or Bessel function  $Y_{\nu}(z)$ , with  $\nu$  the order of these functions.

We can observe that the quantum solution in the  $\Omega$  sector is similar to the corresponding FRW cosmological model, found in different schemes [12, 22, 23, 32, 42]. Also, similar analysis can be found in recent published paper by two authors of this work [52].

The presence of extra dimensions could have a stronger influence on the isotropization process by having a moduli-dependent potential, which can be gathered by turning on extra fields in the internal space, called fluxes. It will be interesting to consider a more complete compactification process in which all moduli are considered as time-dependent fields as well as time-dependent fluxes. We leave these important analysis for future work.

## Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by CONACYT 167335, 179881 grants. PROMEP grants UGTO-CA-3 and DAIP-UG 640/2015. This work is part of the collaboration within the Instituto Avanzado de Cosmología and Red PROMEP: Gravitation and Mathematical Physics under project *Quantum aspects of gravity in cosmological models, phenomenology and geometry of space-time*. One of authors (LTS) was supported by a PhD scholarship in the graduate program by CONACYT.

### Appendix A: Dimensional reduction

With the purpose to be consistent, here we present the main ideas to dimensional reduce the action (1). By the use of the conformal transformation

$$\hat{G}_{MN} = e^{\Phi/2} G_{MN}^E, \quad (\text{A1})$$

the action (1) can be written as

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}X \sqrt{-\hat{G}} \left( e^{\Phi/2} \hat{\mathcal{R}} + 4 G^{E_{MN}} \nabla_M \Phi \nabla_N \Phi \right) + \int d^{10}X \sqrt{-G^E} e^{5\Phi/2} \mathcal{L}_{\text{matt}}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where the ten-dimensional scalar curvature  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$  transform according to the conformal transformation as

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}} = e^{-\Phi/2} \left( \mathcal{R}^E - \frac{9}{2} G^{E_{MN}} \nabla_M \nabla_N \Phi - \frac{9}{2} G^{E_{MN}} \nabla_M \Phi \nabla_N \Phi \right). \quad (\text{A3})$$

By substituting the expression (A3) in (A2) we obtain

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}X \sqrt{-G^E} \left( \mathcal{R}^E - \frac{9}{2} G^{E_{MN}} \nabla_M \nabla_N \Phi - \frac{1}{2} G^{E_{MN}} \nabla_M \Phi \nabla_N \Phi \right) + \int d^{10}X \sqrt{-G^E} e^{5\Phi/2} \mathcal{L}_{\text{matt}}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

The last expression is the ten-dimensional action in the Einstein frame. Expressing the metric determinant in four-dimensions in terms of the moduli field  $\sigma$  we have

$$\det \hat{G}_{MN} = \hat{G} = e^{-12\sigma} \hat{g}. \quad (\text{A5})$$

By substituting the last expression (A5) in (1) and considering that

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{(10)} = \hat{\mathcal{R}}^{(4)} - 42 \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \sigma \nabla_\nu \sigma + 12 \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \sigma, \quad (\text{A6})$$

we obtain that

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^4x d^6y \sqrt{-\hat{g}} e^{-6\sigma} e^{-2\Phi} \left[ \hat{\mathcal{R}}^{(4)} - 42 \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \sigma \nabla_\nu \sigma + 12 \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \sigma + 4 \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \Phi \nabla_\nu \Phi \right]. \quad (\text{A7})$$

Let us redefine in the last expression the dilaton field  $\Phi$  as

$$\Phi = \phi - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\hat{V}), \quad (\text{A8})$$

where  $\hat{V} = \int d^6y$ . So, the expression (A7) can be written as

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\hat{g}} e^{-2(\phi+3\sigma)} \left[ \hat{\mathcal{R}}^{(4)} - 42 \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \sigma \nabla_\nu \sigma + 12 \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \sigma + 4 \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi \right]. \quad (\text{A9})$$

The four-dimensional metric  $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$  means that the metric in the String frame, we should take a conformal transformation linking the String and Einstein frames. We label by  $g_{\mu\nu}$  the metric of the external space in the Einstein frame, this conformal transformation is given by

$$\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\Theta} g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

which after some algebra gives the four-dimensional scalar curvature

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{(4)} = e^{-2\Theta} \left( \mathcal{R}^{(4)} - 6 g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \Theta - 6 g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \Theta \nabla_\nu \Theta \right), \quad (\text{A11})$$

where the function  $\Theta$  is given by the transformation

$$\Theta = \phi + 3\sigma + \ln \left( \frac{\kappa_{10}^2}{\kappa_4^2} \right). \quad (\text{A12})$$

Now, we must replace the expressions (A10), (A11), (A12) in the expression (A9) we find

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \mathcal{R} - 6 g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi - 6 g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \sigma - 2 g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi - 96 g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \sigma \nabla_\nu \sigma - 36 g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \sigma \right). \quad (\text{A13})$$

At first glance, is important to clarify one point related with the stress-energy tensor which has the matrix form (6); this tensor belongs to the String frame. In order to write the stress-energy tensor in the Einstein frame we need to work with the last integrand of the expression (1). So, after taking the variation with respect to the ten-dimensional metric and opening the expression we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^{10}X \sqrt{-\hat{G}} e^{-2\Phi} \kappa_{10}^2 e^{2\Phi} \hat{T}_{MN} \hat{G}^{MN} &= \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} e^{2(\Theta+\phi)} \hat{T}_{MN} \hat{G}^{MN}, \\ &= \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( e^{2\phi} \hat{T}_{\mu\nu} g^{\mu\nu} + e^{2(\Theta+\phi)} \hat{T}_{mn} \hat{g}^{mn} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we can observe that that the four-dimensional stress-energy tensor in the Einstein frame is defined as we said in the expression (7).

- 
- [1] P.A.R.A de *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], *Planck 2015 results. XVIII. Background geometry and topology*, arXiv:1502.01593 [astro-ph.CO].
  - [2] E. Russell, C.B. Kilinc and O.K. Pashaev, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **442**, no. 3, 2331 (2014), *Bianchi I model: an alternative way to model the present-day Universe*.
  - [3] T. Damour, and A.M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. B **423**, 532 (1994). *The String dilaton and a least coupling principle*.
  - [4] J.H. Horne, and G.W. Moore, Nucl. Phys. B **432**, 109 (1994). *Chaotic coupling constants*.
  - [5] Tom Banks, M. Berkooz, S.H. Shenker, G.W. Moore, and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 3548 (1995). *Modular cosmology*.
  - [6] T. Damour, Marc Henneaux, B. Julia, H. Nicolai, Phys. Lett. B **509**, 323 (2001). *Hyperbolic Kac-Moody algebras and chaos in Kaluza-Klein models*.
  - [7] Tom Banks, W. Fischler, L. Motl, JHEP **9901**, 019 (1999). *Dualities versus singularities*.
  - [8] R. Kallosh, L. Kofman, A.D. Linde, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 123523 (2001), *Pyrotechnic Universe*.
  - [9] R. Kallosh, L. Kofman, A.D. Linde, A.A. Tseytlin, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 123524 (2001), *BPS branes in cosmology*.
  - [10] Daniel Baumann and Liam McAllister, [arXiv:1404.2601 [hep-th]], (2014).
  - [11] J. Khoury, B.A. Ovrut, N. Seiberg, P.J. Steinhardt, and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 086007 (2002). *From big crunch to big bang*.
  - [12] C. Martinez-Prieto, O. Obregón, and J. Socorro, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **19**, 5651 (2004), *Classical and quantum time dependent solutions in string theory*.
  - [13] J. Khoury, B.A. Ovrut, P.J. Steinhardt, and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 123522 (2001). *The Ekpyrotic Universe: Colliding branes and the origin of the hot big bang*.
  - [14] K. Enqvist, E. Keski-Vakkuri, and S. Rasanen, Nucl. Phys. B **64**, 388 (2001), *Hubble law and brane matter after ekpyrosis*.
  - [15] G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B **265**, 287 (1991), *Scale factor duality for classical and quantum strings*.
  - [16] M. Gasperini, and G. Veneziano, Astropart. Phys. **1**, 317, (1993), *Pre - big bang in string cosmology*.
  - [17] C.J. Isham, [gr-qc/9210011], *Canonical quantum gravity and the problem of time*.
  - [18] K.V. Kuchar, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D Proc. Suppl. **20**, 3, (2011), *Time and interpretations of quantum gravity*.
  - [19] A. P. Billyard, A. A. Coley and J. E. Lidsey, Phys. Rev. D **59** 123505 (1999).
  - [20] A. P. Billyard, A. A. Coley and J. E. Lidsey, J. Math. Phys. **40**, 5092 (1999).
  - [21] A. P. Billyard, A. A. Coley, J. E. Lidsey and U. S. Nilsson, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 043504 (2000).
  - [22] M. Cavaglia and P. V. Moniz, Class. Quant. Grav. **18**, 95 (2001), *Canonical and quantum FRW cosmological solutions in M theory*.
  - [23] M. Cavaglia and P. V. Moniz, *FRW cosmological solutions in M theory*, gr-qc/0011098.
  - [24] C. Brans, and R.H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. **124**, 925 (1961), *Mach's principle and a relativistic theory of gravitation*.
  - [25] D.H. Wesley, JCAP **0901**, 041 (2009), *Oxidised cosmic acceleration*.
  - [26] J.A. Casas, J. Garcia-Bellido, and M. Quiros, Nucl. Phys. B **361**, 713 (1991), *On the gravity theories and cosmology from strings*.
  - [27] E.J. Copeland, A. Lahiri, and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 4868 (1994), *Low-energy effective string cosmology*.
  - [28] J.E. Lidsey, D. Wands, and E.J. Copeland, Phys. Rept. **337**, 343 (2000), *Superstring cosmology*.
  - [29] M. Gasperini, in: *Elements of String Cosmology*, Cambridge University Press, (2007).
  - [30] S.M.M. Rasouli, M. Farhoudi, and P.V. Moniz, Class. Quant. Grav. **31**, 115002 (2014), *Modified Brans-Dicke theory in arbitrary dimensions*.
  - [31] M.P. Ryan, and L.C. Shepley, in: *Homogeneous Relativistic Cosmologies*, Princeton University Press, (1975).



- [32] J. Socorro, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **42**, 2087 (2003), *Classical solutions from quantum regime for barotropic FRW model*.
- [33] B.D. Chowdhury, and S.D Mathur, Class. Quant. Grav. **24**, 2689 (2007), *Fractional Brane State in the Early Universe*.
- [34] S. Nojiri, O. Obregón, S.D. Odintsov, and K.E. Osetrin, Phys. Lett. B **449**, 173 (1999), **60**, 024008 (1999), *Induced wormholes due to quantum effects of spherically reduced matter in large  $N$  approximation*.
- [35] S. Nojiri, O. Obregón, S.D. Odintsov, and K.E. Osetrin, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 024008 (1999), *(Non)singular Kantowski-Sachs Universe from quantum spherically reduced matter*.
- [36] Abraham Espinoza-García, Luis O. Pimentel and J. Socorro, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2014**, 805164 (2014). *Classical Bianchi type I cosmology in K-essence theory*
- [37] E. Martinez-Gonzalez, and J.L. Sanz, Astronomy and Astrophysics **300**, 346 (1995).  *$\Delta T/T$  and the isotropy of the Universe*.
- [38] G.W. Gibbons, and L.P. Grishchuk, Nucl. Phys. B **313**, 736 (1989), *What Is a Typical Wave Function for the Universe?*.
- [39] S. Gottlber, L. Z. FANG, R. RUFFINI (eds.): Quantum Cosmology. Advanced Series in Astrophysics and Cosmology - Vol. 3. World Scientific, Singapore, New Jersey, Hong Kong; (1987), 330 Seiten, (1990).
- [40] J.B. Hartle, and S.W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **28**, 2960 (1983), *Wave Function of the Universe*.
- [41] J.H. Bae, Class. Quant. Grav. **32** (7), 075006, (2015), *Mixmaster revisited: wormhole solutions to the Bianchi IX Wheeler-DeWitt equation using the Euclidean-signature semi-classical method*.
- [42] P.V. Moniz, in: *Quantum cosmology: the supersymmetric perspective. Vol. 1, Fundamentals*, Springer, (2010).
- [43] V.F. Zaitsev, and A.D. Polyanin, in: *Handbook of Exact Solutions for Ordinary Differential Equations*, Taylor & Francis, (2002).
- [44] C. Kiefer, Nucl. Phys. B **341**, 273 (1990), *Wave Packets in Quantum Cosmology and the Cosmological Constant*.
- [45] C. Kiefer, Phys. Rev. D **38**, 1761 (1988), *Wave Packets in Minisuperspace*.
- [46] J. Socorro, M. Sabido, M.A. Sánchez and M.G. Frías Palos, Rev. Mex. de Física **56**, 166 (2010), *Anisotropic cosmology in Sáez-Ballester theory: classical and quantum solutions*.
- [47] K. Peeters, [hep-th/0701238], (2007), *Introducing Cadabra: A Symbolic computer algebra system for field theory problems*.
- [48] K. Peeters, Comput. Phys. Commun. **176**, 550 (2007), *A Field-theory motivated approach to symbolic computer algebra*.
- [49] K. Bamba, J. de Haro and S.D. Odintsov, JCAP **02**, 008 (2013).
- [50] S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov and S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 063004 (2005).
- [51] L. Fernandez-Jambrina, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 124004 (2010).
- [52] J. Socorro and Leonel Toledo, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **131** 71 (10 pages) (2016), *Time-dependent toroidal compactification proposals and the Bianchi type II model: classical and quantum solutions*